Measurement Uncertainty in Network Analyzers: Differential Error Analysis of Error Models Part 2: Full Two-Port Calibration

N.I. Yannopoulou, P.E. Zimourtopoulos

Antennas Research Group, Austria — Hellas [1, 2] EECE Dept, Democritus University of Thrace, Hellas [2]

Abstract

Since S-parameter measurements without uncertainty cannot claim any credibility, the uncertainties in full two-port Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) measurements were estimated using total complex differentials (Total Differential Errors). To express precisely a comparison relation between complex differential errors, their differential error regions (DERs) were used. To demonstrate the method in the most accurate case of a direct zero-length thru, practical results are presented for commonly used Z-parameters of a simple, two-port, DC resistive T-network, which was built and tested against frequency with a VNA measurement system extended by two lengthy transmission lines.

Introduction

It is well known that in full two-port VNA measurements the S-parameters for a two-port Device Under Test (DUT) are given in terms of their 4 measurements m_{ij} , i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2 by $S_{11} = \{[(m_{11} - D)/R][1 + (m_{22} - D')M'/R'] - L(m_{21} - X)(m_{12} - X')/(TT')\}/H$ (1) $S_{21} = \{[1 + (m_{22} - D')(M' - L)/R'] (m_{21} - X)/T\}/H$ (2)

$$H = [1 + (m_{11} - D)M/R][1 + (m_{22} - D')M'/R'] - LL'(m_{21} - X)(m_{12} - X')/(TT')$$
(3)

 S_{22} , S_{12} have expressions that result from (1)-(2) by substituting i, j with j, i and D, M, R, L, T, X with D', M', R', T', L', X' and viceversa [1]. These 12 quantibeen defined ties have ลร [2]. Stumper system errors gave non-generalized expressions for the partial deviations of S-parameters due to calibration standard uncertainties, in 2003 [3]. Furthermore, the developed total differential errors for full one-port VNA measurements [4] are also not generalized in the two-port case. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there are no analytical expressions for total differential errors in full twoport VNA measurements.

Research

Since S-parameters are functions of 16 complex variables, their total differential errors were initially expressed as

$$dS_{11} = \{T T'(1 - MS_{11})[R' + M'(m_{22} - D')](dm_{11} - dD)
- RR'L(1 - L'S_{11})[(m_{21} - X)(dm_{12} - dX') + (m_{12} - X')(dm_{21} - dX)]
+ M'T T'[(m_{11} - D)(1 - MS_{11}) - RS_{11}](dm_{22} - dD')
- T T'S_{11}(m_{11} - D)[R' + M'(m_{22} - D')]dM
+ T T'(m_{22} - D')[(m_{11} - D)(1 - MS_{11}) - RS_{11}]dM'
- (R'L(1 - L'S_{11})(m_{12} - X')(m_{21} - X) +
+ T T'S_{11}[R' + M'(m_{22} - D')])dR
- (RL(1 - L'S_{11})(m_{12} - X')(m_{21} - X)
- T T'[(m_{11} - D)(1 - MS_{11}) - RS_{11}])dR'
- RR'(m_{12} - X')(m_{21} - X)[(1 - L'S_{11})dL - LS_{11}dL']
+ [(m_{11} - D)(1 - MS_{11}) - RS_{11}][R' + M'(m_{22} - D')]
(T'dT+TdT')]/P (4)$$

$$dS_{21} = \{ -MTT'S_{21}[R' + M'(m_{22} - D')](dm_{11} - dD) + RR'LL'S_{21}(m_{21} - X)(dm_{12} - dX') + R\{T'[R' + (m_{22} - D')(M' - L)] + R'LL'S_{21}(m_{12} - X')\}(dm_{21} - dX) + T'(R(m_{21} - X)(M' - L) - M'TS_{21}[R + M(m_{11} - D)])(dm_{22} - dD') - TT'S_{21}(m_{11} - D)[R' + M'(m_{22} - D')]dM + T'(m_{22} - D')(R(m_{21} - X) - TS_{21}[R + M(m_{11} - D)])dM' + \{(m_{21} - X)(T'(m_{22} - D')(M' - L) + R'[T' + LL'S_{21}(m_{12} - X')]) - TT'S_{21}[R' + M'(m_{22} - D')] dR + (R(m_{21} - X)[T' + LL'S_{21}(m_{12} - X')] - TT'S_{21}[R + M(m_{11} - D)])dR' + R(m_{21} - X)[R'L'S_{21}(m_{12} - X') - T'(m_{22} - D')]dL + RR'LS_{21}(m_{12} - X')(m_{21} - X)dL' - T'S_{21}[R + M(m_{11} - D)][R' + M'(m_{22} - D')]dT + (R(m_{21} - X)[R' + (m_{22} - D')(M' - L)] - TS_{21}[R + M(m_{11} - D)][R' + M'(m_{22} - D')]dT + (R(m_{21} - X)[R' + (m_{22} - D')(M' - L)] - TS_{21}[R + M(m_{11} - D)][R' + M'(m_{22} - D')]]dT']/P (5)$$

$$P = T T'[R' + M'(m_{22} - D')][R + M(m_{11} - D)] - RR'LL'(m_{12} - X')(m_{21} - X)$$
(6)

 dS_{22} and dS_{12} resulted from (4), (5) with the mentioned substitutions. X, X' errors stand for crosstalk measurements. D, M, R (D', M', R') errors are uniquely determined in terms of 3 standard loads A, B, C (A', B', C') and their 3 measurements a, b, c (a', b', c'), by full one-port VNA measurements, so the number of independent complex variables increases from 16 to 22. L, T (L', T') errors are accurately determined after the replacement of DUT with a direct thru (or approximately, if an adapter is used instead) in terms of measurements new $t_{11}, t_{21} (t_{22}, t_{12})$ and of previously found quantities. Their expressions were appropriately stated as

$$L = [\sum (ab + ct_{11})C(B - A)]/E$$
 (7)

$$T = (t_{21} - X)[\Pi (A - B)(a - b)]/$$

(E [\sum cC(B - A)]) (8)

$$E = \sum (ab + ct_{11})(B - A)$$
 (9)

where Σ and \prod produce two more terms, from the given one, by cyclic rotation of the letters a, b, c (a', b', c') or A, B, C (A', B', C'). In this way, each S-parameter has as total differential error dS, a sum of 22 differential terms:

16 due to measurement inaccuracies dm_{ij} , dX, dX', dt_{ij} , da, db, dc, da', db', dc' and 6 due to standard uncertainties given by their manufacturer dA, dB, dC, dA', dB', dC'. The expressions for dD, dM, dR (dD', dM', dR') are known [4]. The expressions for the rest of differential errors were developed as

$$dL = \{\sum (B - C)(b - t_{11})(c - t_{11})[(B - C)(b - a)(c - a)dA - (b - c)(B - A)(C - A)da] + [\prod (A - B)(a - b)]dt_{11}\}/E^2$$
(10)

$$dT = \{\sum (t_{21} - X)(b - c)(B - C)[(t_{11} - c)(b - a)^{2}B(A^{2} + C^{2}) + (b - t_{11})(c - a)^{2}C(A^{2} + B^{2}) - 2ABC(b - c)(t_{11}(b + c - 2a) - bc + a^{2})][(B - C)(b - a)(c - a)dA - (b - c)(B - A)(C - A)da]\}/(E^{2}[\sum cC(B - A)]^{2}) + [\prod (A - B)(a - b)]\{[(t_{21} - X)\sum a(B - C)/E]dt_{11} + dt_{21} - dX\}/(E[\sum cC(B - A)])$$
(11)

Each complex differential error defines a Differential Error Region (DER) on the complex plane with projections to coordinate axes the Differential Error Intervals (DEIs) [4]. Obviously, any quantity differentiably dependent on the above variables has also a DER. For example, after another correction to the given S to Z-parameters relations [5], the Z-DERs are resulted from

$$dZ_{11} = 2Z_0[(1 - S_{22})^2 dS_{11} + (1 - S_{22})S_{21} dS_{12} + (1 - S_{22})S_{12} dS_{21} + S_{12}S_{21} dS_{22}]/[(1 - S_{11})(1 - S_{22}) - S_{12}S_{21}]^2$$
(12)

$$dZ_{21} = 2Z_{0}[(1 - S_{22})S_{21}dS_{11} + S_{21}^{2}dS_{12} + (1 - S_{11})(1 - S_{22})dS_{21} + (1 - S_{11})S_{21}dS_{22}]/[(1 - S_{11})(1 - S_{22}) - S_{12}S_{21}]^{2}$$
(13)

while dZ_{22} , dZ_{12} result from (12), (13) by application of the mentioned substitutions.

Results

Six calibration standards, in pairs of opposite sex, were used and their manufacturers' data were substituted in the developed expressions:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A} = -1 = \mathsf{A}', \quad 0 \leq \mathsf{d} |\mathsf{A}| = \mathsf{d} |\mathsf{A}'| \leq \\ \mathsf{0.01}, \quad -180^\circ \leq \mathsf{d} \phi_\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{d} \phi_\mathsf{A'} \leq -178^\circ \\ \mathsf{or} \quad 178^\circ \leq \mathsf{d} \phi_\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{d} \phi_\mathsf{A'} \leq 180^\circ, \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{B} &= 0 = \mathsf{B}', \ |\mathsf{d}\mathsf{B}| = 0.029 = |\mathsf{d}\mathsf{B}'|, \\ \mathsf{C} &= 1 = \mathsf{C}', \ -0.01 \leq \mathsf{d}|\mathsf{C}| = \mathsf{d}|\mathsf{C}'| \\ &\leq 0 \ \text{and} \ -2^\circ \leq \mathsf{d}\phi_\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{d}\phi_\mathsf{C'} \leq +2^\circ. \end{split}$$

The inaccuracy of any VNA measurement was conservatively considered as a symmetric interval defined bv just 1 unit in the last place of the corresponding manboth in modulus tissa, and argument. Consequently, each

S-DER is a sum of 20 parallelograms and 2 circles, with a contour of 160 vertices at most [4].

To demonstrate the method, a typical T-network of common resistors with nominal DC values $Z_1 = 24.2 \Omega$, $Z_2 = 120 \Omega$ for the horizontal arms and Z_{12} =1.1 Ω for the vertical arm, were soldered on type-N base connectors of opposite sex and enclosed in an aluminium box, to form a two-port DUT.

The VNA measurement system was extended by two transmission lines of 3.66 m and 14 m, respectively, up to the DUT. The DUT was tested from 2 to 1289 MHz in 13 MHz steps. The frequency 1003 MHz was selected to illustrate the proposed method for S-DERs shown in Fig. 1.

To study the total differential error, dS was expressed as dU + dI, where dU is due to the uncertainty of 6 standards and dI to the inaccuracv of 16 measurements. The contribution of these, conservativelv considered measurement inaccuracies to the total differential error is much significant as the as uncertainties of standard loads are. For example, com- S_{12} putations for over the whole measurement band show that max|dU| and max|dI| contribute ~35%-80% and ~25%-70% max|dS₁₂|, respectively. to In addition, Fig. 1 shows how the projections of each S-DER result its real and imaginary DEI. To display the variation of S-DER against frequency, a number of selected S-DER frames are shown in Fig. 2 as beads on a space-curved fila-It is worth mentioning ment. S₁₁-DER (S₂₂-DER) that was greater than it resulted from appropriately organized full one-port measurements, as it expected. Finally, the was computed Z-DEIs are shown in Fig. 3, along with their LF Z-values.





Fig. 2: S-DERs against frequency



Conclusion

The proposed method may be efficiently used to estimate

uncertainties in any case where the process equations (1), (2) and (4), (5) can find application.

References

- [1] Ballo D., "Network Analyzer Basics", Hewlett-Packard Company, 1998, pp. 58
- [2] Fitzpatrick J., "Error Models for Systems Measurement", Microwave Journal, 21, May 1978, pp. 63-66
- [3] Stumper U., "Influence of TMSO calibration standards uncertainties on VNA S-parameters measurements", IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurements, Vol. 52, No. 2, April 2003, p. 311-315
- [4] Yannopoulou N., Zimourtopoulos P., "Total Differential Errors in One-Port Network Analyzer Measurements with Application to Antenna Impedance", arXiv:physics/0703204, Radioengineering, Vol. 16, No. 2, June 2007. pp. 1-8
- Radioengineering, Vol. 16, No. 2, June 2007, pp. 1-8
 [5] Beatty R.W., Kerns D.M., "Relationships between Different Kinds of Network Parameters, Not Assuming Reciprocity or Equality of the Waveguide or Transmission Line Characteristic Impedances", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol. 52, Issue 1, January 1964, p. 84

Preprint Versions

Total Differential Errors in One-Port Network Analyzer Measurements with Application to Antenna Impedance Nikolitsa Yannopoulou, Petros Zimourtopoulos arxiv.org/abs/physics/0703204

Follow-Up Research Paper

S-Parameter Uncertainties in Network Analyzer Measurements with Application to Antenna Patterns

N. Yannopoulou, P. Zimourtopoulos

Radioengineering, April 2008, Volume 17, Number 1

www.radioeng.cz/papers/2008-1.htm
www.radioeng.cz/fulltexts/2008/08_01_01_08.pdf

Previous Publication in FUNKTECHNIKPLUS # JOURNAL

"Measurement Uncertainty in Network Analyzers: Differential Error Analysis of Error Models Part 1: Full One-Port Calibration", Issue 1, pp. 17-22

FUNKTECHNIKPLUS # JOURNAL v4-30